0)
Facilit, Name: SKINNER LANDFILL
Location: WEST CHESTER, OHIO 9950
EPA Region:
Person(s) in Charge of the Facility:
•
•
Name of Reviewer: Scott Byzam Date: 7/24/92
General Description of the Facility:
(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
UNPERMITED WASTE DUMP WHICH
RECEIVED VARIOUS POISONS, PESTICIDE
INTERMEDIATES, AND METALS. THE MATERIALS
WERE BOTH DUMPED IN A LAGOON AND/OR
BURIED IN DRUMS, ALL OF THE MATERIALS
ARE NOW BURIED, LAST ACTIVITY ON THE
SITE WAS IN 1976.
Scores: $S_M = 30.23 (S_{gw} = 51.63S_{sw} = 9.36S_a = 0)$
$s_{FE} = 9.72$
SDC = Z.SO

Figure 1

HRS COVER SHEET

	GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET									
	Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)				
1	OBSERVED RELEASE	(0) 45	1	0	45	3.1				
		ase is given a score of ase is given a score of				i).				
2	ROUTE CHARACTERIS	STICS	•	•		3.2				
	Depth to Aquifer Concern	•	2	4	6					
	Net Precipitation Permeability of t	the 01 🗭 3	1	2	3 3					
	Unsaturated Zone Physical State	0123)	1	3	3_					
	Tot	tal Route Characteristic	s Score	11	15					
]	CONTAINHENT	0 1 23	1	3	3	3.3				
4	WASTE CHARACTERIS	STICS				3.4				
	Toxicity/Persiste Hazardous Waste Quantity		1 8 1	14 5	18					
	. To	otal Waste Characteristi	cs Score	23	26					
[3]	TARGETS	•				3.5				
	Ground Water Use Distance to Near- est Well/Populat Served	5	3	9 30	9 40					
	To	tal Targets Score		39	49					
6	If line 1 is 45 If line 1 is 0,	multiply 1 x 4 multiply 2 x 3 .x 4	x [] x []	parol 5	7,330					
7	Divide line 6 by	57,330 and multiply by		gu = 5	51.6	3				

Figure 2 · Ground Water Route Work Sheet

SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET								
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Hulti- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section			
1 OBSERVED RELEASE	6 45	1	0	45	4.1			
	e is given a value of 45, e is given a value of 0,							
2 ROUTE CHARACTERIST	ICS				4.2			
Facility Slope and Intervening Terra		1	・ ン	. 3				
1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfi Distance to Nearest Surface Water	11 0123	1 2	24	3 6				
Physical State	0 1 2(3)	1	3	3				
Tota	al Route Characteristics	Score	13	15				
CONTAINMENT	0 1 23	1	3	3	4.3			
4 WASTE CHARACTERIST	:cs				4.4			
Toxicity/Persistend Bazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 6 9 12 15 (8 0 1 2 3 4 (5) 6 7	1 1	14 5	18 8				
Total	1 Waste Characteristics	Score	72	26				
<u> </u>	, ,	SCOLE	23					
TARGETS	0		1.		4.5			
Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensi	0 1 (2) 3 tive (0) 1 2 3	3 2	B	9 6				
Environment Population Served/ Distance to Water Intake Downstream	6 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	1	0	40				
Tota	l Targets Score .		6	55				
	multiply 1 x 4 x ultiply 2 x 3 x 4	S × 5	64	,350				
Divide line E by 64	,350 and multiply by 100	S	<u>,-</u> &.	36				

Figure 7
Surface Water Route Work Sheet

	£ .				1
	AIR ROUTE WORK S	HEET	1		
Rating Factor	Assigned Valua (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
OBSERVED RELEASE	6 45	1	0	45	5.1
Date and Location	" No SAMP	LING D	ONE	<u></u>	NO R
Sampling Protocol					
If line 1 is If line 1 is	O, then S = O. Enter 45, then proceed to li	on line [6] ne [2].	•		
WASTE CHARACTERIS		•			5.2
Reactivity and	0123	1		3	
Incompatibility Toxicity Hazardous Vaste	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	781		. 9 8	
Quantity					
				1 20	T
Te	tal Waste Characterist	ics Score		20	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
3 TARGETS					5.3
Population Withi	n	1		30	
4-Mile Radius Distance to Sens		2		6	
Environment Land Use	0 1 2 3	1		3	
•					•
		•	T	1 20	7
	Total Targets Score		 	39	
Multiply 1 ×	2 × 3		0	35,1	00
S provide line[4]	by 35,100 and multiply	by 100	S _a -	0	

Figure 9

Air Route Work Sheet

	`	·	
		S	s ²
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)		51.63	2665.66
Surface Water Route Score (S _{SW})		8.36	69.89
Air Route Score (S _a)	•		0
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$.			2735,55
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$			52.30
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73$			s,=30.23

Figure 10 worksheet for computing $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{M}}$

<u></u>	TIRE AND EXPLOSION WOR	X SHEET			··	
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
Containment	(3) 3	1	1	3	7.1	
Waste Characteristics				-	7.2	
Direct Evidence	(b) 3	1	0	3		
. Ignitability	0 1 2 3	1	3	3		·
Reactivity	01203	1	2	3		
Incompatibility	(0) 1 2 3	_	. 0	3		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	012343678	1	5	8		
. Total Was	te Characteristics Sco	ore	10	20	·	
Targets					7.3	
Distance to Nearest Population	0 1 2 3 4 5	1 3	;	5		
Distance to Nearest Building	0 1) 2 3	1 1		3		
Distance to Sensitive Environment	6 1 2 3	1 ()	3		
Land Use	0 1 2 3	1 7	_	3		
Population Within 2-Mile Radius	0 1 2 3 4 5	1 4	ľ	5		57 0
Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	0 1 2 3/45	1 '	4	5		230
			•		j	
Total Targe	et Score	<u></u>	14	24		
Multiply 1 x 2 x 3	× 4		140	1,440		•
vide line 5 by 1,440 and mu	altiply by 100	•	SFE -	9.72	_	

Figure 11
Fire and Explosion Work Sheet

: 352

•	DIRECT CONTACT WORK	SHEET			•
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
Observed Incident	(b) 45	1	0	45	8.1
If line 1 is 45, pro If line 1 is 0, pro	ceed to line 4				
Accessibility	0 1 2(3)	1	3	3	8.2
Containment	0 15 UNKNOU	PH 1	1.	15	8.3
Toxicity	0123	5	15	15	8.4
Targets Population within a	0 1 2 3 4 5	4	12	20	8.5
1-mile radius Distance to a critical habitat	1 2 3	4	0	12	-
	otal Targets Score		12	32	
If line 1 is 45, mu	ltiply 1 x 4 x 5; ltiply 2 x 3 x 4 x	[5]	540	21,600	
	1,600 and multiply by 100		S _{DC} -	2.50	

Figure 12
Direct Contact Work Sheet

DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given site. The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will allow anyone to find the document used for a given data point. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review by any interested party.

FACILITY N	IAME: SKINNER	ANDFILL	
LOCATION:	WEST CHESTER	OHIO	

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected off site (5 maximum):

NO OBSERVED RELEASE

Reasoning by which the presence of the detected contaminants can be attributed to the facility: "me the first the facility:

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

UNNAMED DRIFT ADVIFER OVERLYING SHALE BEDROCK

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

ESTIMATED STATIC LEVEL NEAR LAGOON IS 679 MSL
THIS WAS INTERPOLATED FROM WELL LOGS SUPPLIED BY
JEFFREY HOSLER, SWDD DIST, GEDLOGIST, BOHOW DE EKINNER
LAGOON ESTIMATED AS 4RADE MINUS 6 FT = 735-6=729 FT

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/storage: ASSUMED 6 FT.

IN AQUIFER OF CONCERN IS 729-679 = 50 FT,

SLORE = 7.

Net Precipitation

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation:

40 INCHES

(HRS MANUAL)

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation:

34 INCHES

(HRS MANUAL)

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

+6 INCHES

Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

SILTY SAND

SANDY SILT

(BORINGS ON SITE) 7/20 4 7/21 BY ERE)
Permeability associated with soil type:

P= 10-5 CM/S (HRS MANUAL)

SLORE = 2

Physical State

Physical state of waste at time of disposal (or generated gases):

LIQUID, SLUDGE

3 CONTAINMENT

Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

LAGOON , UNLINED BURIED

DRUMS BURIED

LANDFILL UNLINED

Method with highest score:

LAGOON SCORE = 3

LANDFILL SCORE = 3

DRUMS SCORE = 1 ..

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

3,3 (FIRE) CYANIDE

CADMIUM 3,3

LEAD

C 56 3,?

Compound with highest score:

METALS = 18

C46 = 18

CHLORDANE (IF APPLICABLE) = 18

C46 3,3

OCTO CHLORO CYCLO PENTENE

HEXACHLOROBENZENE 2,3

NAPHTHALENE 3,1

HEXACHLOR OBENZENE = 15

NAPHT HALEKE

(HES MANUAL)

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste at the facility (excluding those with a containment score of 0):

100 +-55 GALLON DRUMS

LAGOON 35'X 40' DEPTH = 6' (ASSUMED)

TOTAL QUANTITY = 100+ (36×40×6) - 27 = 336 YED3 SCORE = 5 Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

MEMO SUMMARIZING INFORMATION FROM A

SKINNER SITUATION FROM WILLIE HARRIS USEPA TO ANTHONY HOLOSKA * * RECD 27 DEC 79

Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile: radius of the facility: --- in the facility:

DOMESTIC BOTABLE SUPPLY

Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied and action of building not served by a public water supply:

'SKINNER WELL IS ON SITE CESSTHAN 2000 FT FROM PIT NEAREST DEFSITE WELL AT 1700 FT.

Distance to above well or building:

: 1700 FT.

Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified public-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius:

HONE

Population served by each above public-supply well and how computed:

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

ESTIMATED AT 1950 PEOPLE

BASED ON 10% OF LOCAL POPULATION IN AREA BEING ON WELL WATER FROM REVIEW OF 8/17/41

OF THE WELL LOGS SUPPLIED BY J. HOSLER OEPA, 54% SHOW WHTER BEING TAKEN FROM THE ADUITER OF CONCERN

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water satisfie facility or downhill, from it (5 maximum):

No POSITIVE REZEASE OBSERVED

Reasoning by which the presence of the detected contaminants can be innoted contaminants can be innoted contaminants.

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

UNKNOWN - ASSUMED FLAT SINCE THE WASTE MATERIALS ARE BURIED.

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

EAST FORK MILL CREEK

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

SLOPE = 45' = 0.09 = 9%

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

1/0

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

No

1-Year 24-Hour-Rainfalliin Inches

2,5 INCHES

Distance to Nearest-Downslope Surface Water

500, FT

Physical State of Waste

LIQUID, SLUDGE

3 CONTAINMENT

Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

LAGOON UNLINED BURIED

DRUMS BURIED

LANDFILL UNLINED

Method with highest score:

LAGOON SCORE = 3

DRUMS SCORE = 1

LANDFILL SLOPE = 3

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Refer to Ground Water Route

5 TARGETS

Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

RECREATION.

Is there tidal influence?

No

Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

MA

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if I mile or less:

MONE

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if I mile or less:

MONE

Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of public-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance:

NONE

Population served by each above public-supply intake:

Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served:

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

OBSERVED RELEASE

NO OBSERVED RELEASE Contaminants detected:

Date and location of detection of contaminants

Methods used to detect the contaminants:

Reasoning by which the presence of the detected contaminants can be attributed to the site:

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Reactivity and Incompatibility

Three most reactive compounds (indicate one used):

Three most incompatible pairs of compounds (indicate one used):

Toxicity

Three most toxic compounds (indicate one used):

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste:

sis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

3 TARGETS

Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 1/4 mi. 1 to 4 mi / 1/2 to 1 mi 1/4 to 1/2 mi

Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

Distance less:	to	critical	habitat	of	an	endangered	species,	if l	mile	or

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?